

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/707,079	1	1/06/2000	David Hose	42365-00380	9121	
33623	7590	04/26/2004	04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP/OPENWAVE SYSTEM INC. 3151 SOUTH VAUGHN WAY SUITE 411				MOORE, JAMES K		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2686	29	
AURORA, CO 80014		1		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•••	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/707,079	HOSE, DAVID				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	James K Moore	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
<u>PERIOD FOR R</u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10 D Others						
	Marsha D Bank	· •				
	MARSHA D. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER				
	Jean Jeiti					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/707,079



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claim 82, "independent of the service type information" and "selecting free from any contemporaneous input from said subscriber," raise new issues requiring further consideration.

JUM